Snapshot Stats

Kate Lance clance@connect.com.au

The amount of information that a sysadmin must personally filter is immense, and falls into many different system areas such as user activity, security, emergencies, resources, and performance. There are many fine utilities available to sound the alarm when resources collapse, emergencies errupt, or security is attacked.

But how do you get a feel for the everyday performance of your machines, and how do you find out when their resources are gradually drifting away from optimal levels to the point at which you need to re-provision them? Performance indicators such as free memory, available CPU, process load, or disk activity may take months before functional levels degrade to potential bottlenecks, and the baseline changes may be so gradual that they're lost in the noise of often enormous daily fluctuations.

The everyday performance tools like *vmstat* or *ping* give instantaneous pictures of the state of a machine or network, but they demand constant monitoring to perceive changes -- and how do you tell if they're significant changes or just acceptable normal fluctuations?



Fig. 1: Percentage of idle CPU measured at 10-second intervals over one day on a busy News server.

You could collect the data continuously for later inspection, but this would not only impact on performance, but such a vast stream of data would be very difficult to summarise, understand, compare, or preserve for future investigation.

The fairly obvious answer is to take samples of the data, not to try to collect it continually. If samples are appropriately spaced then they provide a snapshot of the conditions of machines or networks. But this raises two questions:

- what are the *appropriate* sample intervals for targets with such enormously variability?
- and once you have identified a useful snapshot interval how do you comprehend the data on a regular basis to know when a *significant* change has occurred?

Appropriate Sample Intervals

To define appropriate sample intervals, the utility *vmstat* was run every 10 seconds for one full day on a very active News server, with great variability in short and long time-scales throughout the day:

| procs memory page disk faults | cpu |
|--|----------|
| r b w swap free re mf pi po fr de sr s0 s1 s2 s3 in sy cs | us sy id |
| 0 0 0 430784 4216 0 90 14 0 0 0 0 1 2 1 8 314 1662 292 | 9 16 75 |
| 0 0 0 431212 3832 2 202 17 12 56 360 20 0 2 0 21 326 1645 299 | 11 23 66 |
| 1 0 0 428580 3188 14 326 28 91 434 732 160 1 7 3 39 438 1852 338 | 22 33 45 |
| 0 0 0 430180 4816 1 230 59 8 8 0 0 2 4 2 24 403 2207 342 | 16 25 59 |
| 0 0 0 429540 3400 3 135 22 13 13 0 0 1 3 3 14 318 1732 279 | 9 20 71 |
| 1 1 0 429716 3544 21 260 14 88 88 0 0 1 6 6 61 498 1968 348 | 17 32 52 |
| 1 1 0 429408 3676 24 263 28 121 121 0 0 0 5 2 69 511 2389 398 | 29 35 36 |
| 0 0 0 430332 4088 1 77 18 0 0 0 0 0 3 1 45 392 27871 286 | 21 60 19 |
| 0 0 0 430884 5424 0 64 17 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 5 291 1697 284 | 5 14 81 |

The mean of each of the measurements from a full day's data was calculated and compared to the mean derived from samples taken at one-minute, 5-minute, 10, 15, 20, 30 60 and 120-minute intervals:

| Number of samples | Sample interval |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| over one day | (minutes) |
| 8640 | 1/6 |
| 1440 | 1 |
| 288 | 5 |
| 144 | 10 |
| 96 | 15 |
| 72 | 20 |
| 48 | 30 |
| 24 | 60 |
| 12 | 120 |



Fig. 2: Mean number of processes in run queue and blocked for resources for each of the sample intervals (procs, r b).



Fig. 3: Mean kbytes free memory for each of the sample intervals (memory, free).



Fig. 4: Mean kb paged in, out and pages scanned for each of the sample intervals (pi, po, sr).



Fig. 5: Mean number of disk operations per second for 4 disks for each of the sample intervals (disk, s0 s1 s2 s3).



Fig. 6: Mean interrupts per second for each of the sample intervals (faults, in sy cs).



Fig. 7: Mean percentage of Idle, System and User CPU for each of the sample intervals (cpu, us sy id).

- The surprising result is that samples taken as infrequently as once per hour or once every two hours give mean results that are almost as accurate as those taken once every 10 seconds.
- 10, 15, 20, and 30 minute intervals show large differences from 10-second interval data -- perhaps cron activity at those intervals is affecting the means.

Recognising Significant Changes

Our procedure:

- Collect data (hourly at our site), save to files with a datestamp, one flat file per machine -- can plot daily fluctuations easily (gnuplot). Files rolled over monthly.
- At midnight a simple shell script takes means of the previous 24 hours of data, emails system staff a list of machines and their mean results for the most informative of the above measures:

Processes in run queue Blocked processes Page scan rate System call interrupts Idle CPU

Comparison between machines:

| Machine | Load | Block | Scan | Inter | freeCPU | |
|---------|------|-------|------|-------|---------|----------------|
| perki | 0.1 | 0.3 | 74 | 1681 | 71.3 | (News servers) |
| merki | 0.4 | 0.2 | 50 | 1297 | 56.3 | |
| gnamma | 1.4 | 1.5 | 32 | 278 | 31.2 | |
| уерра | 1.6 | 1.2 | 51 | 382 | 32.9 | |
| auwa | 0.4 | 1.0 | 75 | 233 | 63.0 | |
| pinah | 0.2 | 0.3 | 18 | 159 | 74.1 | |
| myangup | 0.3 | 0.9 | 11 | 10447 | 40.2 | (Web caches) |
| myeah | 0.3 | 0.7 | 7 | 11810 | 35.8 | |
| myponga | 0.1 | 0.6 | 10 | 11064 | 42.7 | |
| bunora | 0.0 | 0.1 | 3 | 1096 | 71.4 | (VWS servers) |
| buntor | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 477 | 93.3 | |
| bunyip | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0 | 587 | 71.2 | |

- News servers: high loads, blocked resources (usually disk I/O), high scan rates (need memory), low interrupt rates, two are low on available CPU.
- Web caches: occasional load, some disk I/O blockage, memory starting to run low, very high interrupt rates (but machines are Ultra 2's, can handle it), but CPU resources low.
- VWS servers: low load, little disk I/O, good memory resources, low interrupt rate, lot of CPU available.

Comparison between daily results for *each* machine:

• We save the daily mean results to a flat file for each machine, for easy scanning by eye or plotting.

Before and after memory, CPU upgrade, disk reorganisation:

| # UnivTime | e | Load | Block | Scan | Inter | freeCPU |
|------------|-------------|----------|-------|------|-------|------------|
| # Sun Mar | 9 00:00:06 | EST 1997 | | | | |
| 857908681 | | 0.0 | 1.4 | 63 | 5788 | 71.8 |
| 857995081 | | 0.0 | 1.5 | 56 | 6045 | 68.5 |
| 858081481 | | 0.1 | 2.3 | 77 | 12620 | 56.4 |
| 858167880 | | 0.2 | 2.0 | 81 | 26265 | 41.4 |
| 858254281 | | 0.1 | 1.7 | 61 | 25959 | 43.0 |
| 858340682 | | 0.1 | 3.4 | 99 | 22532 | 42.6 |
| 858427081 | | 0.1 | 3.0 | 71 | 26184 | 42.7 |
| # Sun Mar | 16 00:00:06 | EST 1997 | | | | |
| 858513481 | | 0.0 | 2.0 | 76 | 31239 | 41.5 |
| 858599881 | | 0.1 | 3.5 | 87 | 10642 | 60.8 |
| 858686280 | | 0.1 | 2.2 | 94 | 7264 | 61.4 ***** |
| 858772681 | | 0.1 | 1.4 | 103 | 1728 | 69.3 |
| 858859082 | | 0.0 | 0.8 | 75 | 1622 | 72.4 |
| 858945480 | | 0.0 | 0.3 | 74 | 1951 | 65.7 |
| 859031881 | | 0.0 | 0.6 | 53 | 1124 | 76.6 |

Runaway process that consumed all CPU:

| 863359081 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 292 | 93.7 |
|-----------|-----|-----|---|-------|-----------|
| 863445482 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 15090 | 41.2 |
| 863531881 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0 | 26897 | 0.0 ***** |
| 863618281 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 12522 | 52.3 |
| 863704682 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 560 | 92.9 |
| 863791082 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 395 | 93.3 |

- The actual figures are much less important than **changes** in the figures.
- Can't exclude human involvement! You must *know* your machine to start with, know what sort of services it's providing and what are normal, acceptable performance indicators for that machine -- will vary according to CPU type, amount of memory, disk layout, services performed.
- Over time, once a pattern of activity is established, you could set threshold values, get email when they are exceeded, etc. -- but I prefer, just once a day, to scan a familiar list and see for myself any differences.
- When funds becomes available for upgrades or extra memory, it is obvious from daily patterns like this which boxes really need the resources.
- The tiny flat files are easy to store, easy to scan by eye, easy to plot for long-term trends, easy to refer to in the future if some other aspect of the information becomes interesting.

We use snapshot stats techniques with:

- *vmstat* for monitoring machine performance and general health (as above)
- *ping* for core network machine response
- *ping* for checking congestion and response times on international links to popular sites
- *ping* for path-specific checks on our international bandwidth providers
- *url_get* for measuring response of overseas and internal web sites from our Sydney and Melbourne hubs